

Search for in Advanced Search

Home | Journals | Collections | Audio | Conferences | Education | Resource Centres | For Authors | About Us | Subscribe | My Account | Careers

The Lancet, [Volume 373, Issue 9661](#), Pages 423 - 431, 31 January 2009
doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60137-9 [Cite or Link Using DOI](#)

< [Previous Article](#) | [Next Article](#) >

[Get Full Text Elsewhere](#)

Principles for allocation of scarce medical interventions

[Govind Persad](#) BS ^a, [Alan Wertheimer](#) PhD ^a, [Ezekiel J Emanuel](#) MD ^a  

Summary

Allocation of very scarce medical interventions such as organs and vaccines is a persistent ethical challenge. We evaluate eight simple allocation principles that can be classified into four categories: treating people equally, favouring the worst-off, maximising total benefits, and promoting and rewarding social usefulness. No single principle is sufficient to incorporate all morally relevant considerations and therefore individual principles must be combined into multiprinciple allocation systems. We evaluate three systems: the United Network for Organ Sharing points systems, quality-adjusted life-years, and disability-adjusted life-years. We recommend an alternative system—the complete lives system—which prioritises younger people who have not yet lived a complete life, and also incorporates prognosis, save the most lives, lottery, and instrumental value principles.

This article is made available free of charge, as a service to our users.
Please login to access the full article, or register if you do not yet have a username and password.

Already Registered? Please Login

Username:

Password:

[Forgotten Username or Password?](#)

Remember me on this computer until I logout


New to TheLancet.com?

TheLancet.com is the online home of:

- The Lancet
- The Lancet Infectious Diseases
- The Lancet Neurology
- The Lancet Oncology

Please register to access selected articles for free, personalize and interact with this site. Registration is free, takes no more than two minutes, and offers you many benefits.

^a Department of Bioethics, The Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

 Correspondence to: Ezekiel J Emanuel, Department of Bioethics, The Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1156, USA

Article Options

[Summary](#)

[Full Text](#)

[PDF \(151 KB\)](#)

[Cited by in Scopus \(27\)](#)

[Printer Friendly Version](#)


[Download images](#)


[Request permission](#)


[Export Citation](#)


[Create Citation Alert](#)


Other Articles of Interest

[Correspondence](#) Ethical criteria for allocating health-care resources - Authors' reply 

[Letters to the Editor](#) Allocation of cadaver kidneys 

[Dissecting Room](#) It could be you 

[Series](#) Allocation concealment in randomised trials: defending against deciphering 

[Editorial](#) Changing the US transplant system 

Bookmark

 [Add to 2Collab](#)

 [Delicious](#)

 [Digg](#)

 [reddit](#)

 [Facebook](#)

 [StumbleUpon](#)

[Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [Contact Us](#)

Copyright © 2010 Elsevier Limited. All rights reserved. The Lancet ® is a registered trademark of Elsevier Properties S.A. used under licence. The content on this site is intended for health professionals.